

Erratum: Water molecule interactions

[J. Chem. Phys. 53, 4544 (1970)]

D. Hankins and J. W. Moskowitz

Chemistry Department, New York University, New York, New York 10003

F. H. Stillinger

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Incorporated, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

In the calculation of the total energy of the water monomer, $E(1)$, we inadvertently included an additional D -type function on the oxygen atom [the $(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ combination which has S -type symmetry]. This function was not included in the oxygen atom basis sets for the dimer, $E(1, 2)$, or trimer, $E(1, 2, 3)$, total energy calculations. The corrected monomer energy is -76.041361 a.u. or a change of 0.14023 kcal/mole. The dimer pair interaction energies $V^{(2)}$ should be corrected by -0.28046 kcal/mole while the three molecule non-additivities $V^{(3)}$ should be corrected by $+0.42069$

kcal/mole.

All qualitative conclusions remain the same. The principal effect is to increase all nonadditivities by a constant amount. The distance variation of the trimer nonadditivities, Fig. 7, now extrapolates correctly to zero at infinite separation. In addition, the role of $V^{(3)}$ in the bonding energy of ice is now computed to be -1.708 kcal/mole.

We thank B. R. Lentz and H. A. Scheraga for bringing this error to our attention.

Erratum: Mulliken type MO calculations with exact kinetic energy

[J. Chem. Phys. 58, 3524 (1973)]

H. A. Germer Jr.

Physiology Department, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77550

The following corrected values for the C-C and C-H bond populations, respectively, should be substituted in Table I. Values determined by the exact K. E. Mulliken method are for C_2H_2 : 2.02174 and 0.66974; for C_2H_4 : 1.42298 and 0.76974; for C_2H_6 : 0.84720 and 0.77819. Values determined by the empirical K. E. Mulliken method are for C_2H_2 : 1.62189 and 0.76164; for C_2H_4 : 1.10761 and 0.78322; for C_2H_6 : 0.69756 and 0.76226.

Erratum: Dickinson energy of H_2^+

[J. Chem. Phys. 54, 530 (1971)]

F. Weinhold

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Tables I and II inadvertently list values of $c' = cR/2$ in place of the parameter c of the text. Thanks are due Dr. M. P. Melrose and Mr. Wm. Mott of King's College, University of London, for pointing out this error.